## Mediation, Compromises Save 2011-2012 NFL Season

Court-ordered mediation saved the 2011-2012 National Football League (NFL) season as the League's longest-ever work stoppage, and its first since 1987, threatened to cancel the season.

The NFL, as we know it, operates through the successful coexistence of two separate entities; the NFL itself, and the NFL Players Association (NFLPA). The NFL, or "the League," refers to the entity that comprises the interests of the League's thirty-two team owners, while the NFLPA is an association representing the players' interests. Since 1968, the League's general stability has been achieved through the NFL and NFLPA periodically agreeing to renewable Collective Bargaining Agreements ("CBAs"). The recent dispute between the NFL and NFLPA arose when the NFL allowed its existing CBA to expire this past March, with no agreement waiting to replace it, despite two years of negotiations to create a new agreement.

By allowing the CBA to expire, League officials hoped that a promptly implemented player lockout, which would prevent players from collecting payments under contracts, would pressure the players financially, giving the owners a strategic economic advantage in negotiations to create a new CBA. Several players brought suit to enjoin the League from implementing the lockout, claiming the planned lockout violated the 1890 Sherman Antitrust Act as an illegal group boycott and price-fixing arrangement. The players alleged additional Sherman Act violations based on the League's plans to implement a price ceiling on recently drafted rookie prospects, placing a salary cap on current players, and new restrictions on free agents.

Bringing their case before Judge Susan Richard Nelson at the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota, the players successfully enjoined the NFL from continuing its player lockout. However, appealing Judge Nelson's ruling, the League was successful in reinstating the lockout, as the 8<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court of Appeals granted both temporary and permanent stays of the district court's ruling before finally upholding the lockout and remanding the case.

Concurrent with the ongoing injunction proceedings, Judge Nelson, undeterred by the failure of prior negotiations, issued court-mandated mediation to create a new CBA. Following Judge Nelson's order, U.S. Magistrate Judge Arthur Boylan began mediation by meeting with the parties first in separate caucuses starting April 12. Though exact details of the mediation are unknown due to confidentiality, comparing the parties' premediation desires with the resulting solution and new CBA illuminates concessions made during mediation.

Team owners, clamoring for a more sustainable financial model, wanted to expand the NFL's regular season from sixteen to eighteen games, obtain greater revenue sharing, institute a salary cap, limit rookie players' contracts, and restrict free agency. The players, like the owners, wanted greater revenue sharing of NFL profits, as well as higher minimum salary levels, expanded team rosters, and reduced offseason workouts, including training camp.

With the season nearing, players still unable to receive payment on their contracts, and a favorable 8<sup>th</sup> Circuit court ruling upholding the player lockout, it seemed that the owners were gaining leverage in negotiations for a new CBA. Momentum shifted, however, when the NFLPA informed the owners that it had secretly purchased insurance

that would pay players if the 2011 season were cancelled. Then, on July 21, mediation successfully concluded as the owners announced their vote to approve a new CBA, pressuring the NFLPA to accept the agreement facing mounting public scrutiny from league fans.

This unexpected resolution was likely the result of the owners anticipating several problems if a new agreement had not been reached before the scheduled start of the 2011 season. Facing disgruntled fans demanding a resolution to the labor dispute, escalating financial pressure of losing millions of dollars in television deals, and realizing that the players had a financial foundation to continue CBA negotiations, League owners approved a new CBA based on negotiations with the player representatives. The players unanimously accepted the CBA just days later, on July 25, effectively ending the fourmonth dispute. Through court-mandated mediation, both sides compromised and achieved an attractive resolution to their dispute.

While the owners realized their goals of greater revenue sharing, a rookie wage system, and a salary cap, they were unable to accomplish some of their biggest changes, such as lengthening the regular season and restricting free agent players. Though the players will receive a decreased share of the League's revenue, they were able to deny the owners free agency regulation, obtain assurances in team cash spending, and provide greater benefits for players. Many safety-related issues were incorporated into the new CBA, such as limited practice times, greater injury protection, less frequent full-contact practices, and obtaining lifetime medical insurance.

Most importantly, both parties utilized mediation to draft and approve a new CBA, guaranteeing NFL fans football through the 2020 season. And for that, we can all be grateful.

Michael S. Finkelstein 2<sup>nd</sup> Year Law Student Louisiana State University Paul M. Hebert Law Center http://michaelfinkelstein.weebly.com